

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR
ALACHUA COUNTY, FLORIDA CIVIL DIVISION**

CASE NO.: 01-2026-CA-001794

CHRISTOPHER A. HANSON, individually and as attorney for himself and next friend and attorney for his two minor children,
Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s),

v.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, and CUSTODIAN OF PUBLIC RECORDS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA,
Defendant(s)/Respondent(s).

**NOTICE OF FILING APPENDIX A-G TO VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF
MANDAMUS**

COMES NOW the Petitioner, CHRISTOPHER A. HANSON, and hereby gives notice of filing the attached 80-page **Appendix A-G** to the Verified Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

Respectfully submitted on this 19th day of June, 2026,

/s/ Christopher Alan Hanson
Christopher Alan Hanson
Florida Bar No. 44094


727-409-5738
Cahanson77@yahoo.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished via the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal to all counsel of record on this 19th day of June, 2026.

/s/ Christopher Alan Hanson
Christopher Alan Hanson
Florida Bar No. 44094

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR ALACHUA COUNTY, FLORIDA**

CASE NO.: _____

DIVISION: _____

CHRISTOPHER A. HANSON, individually and as attorney
and next friend for his two minor children,

Petitioners,

v.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, and
CUSTODIAN OF PUBLIC RECORDS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA,
Respondents.

INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

The following exhibits are filed in support of, and incorporated by reference into, the Verified Petition for Writ of Mandamus. Each is a true and correct copy of the document described.

Ex.	Date	Description	App. Pages
A	Mar. 26, 2026	Petitioner's public records request to the University of Florida (Reference No. W018463-032626), defining categories (A) names and contact information, (B) six enumerated case numbers, and (C) targeted keywords, individuals, and subjects.	App. 3–5
B	Apr. 22, 2026	Petitioner's status follow-up to the University, printed together with the University's March 26, 2026 receipt confirmation quoting the request.	App. 6–9
C	Apr. 23, 2026	The University's response, stating only that the request was “undergoing the legal review.”	App. 10–11
D	May 4, 2026	University of Florida's response declaring the request “complete,” together with the records produced (consisting substantially of Petitioner's own prior correspondence with University personnel, including the March 12, 2026 emails).	App. 12–65
E	May 4, 2026	Petitioner's deficiency letter providing written notice of the public records request to the agency's custodian under § 119.12(1)(b), Fla. Stat., and establishing a compliance deadline of May 11, 2026.	App. 66–71

Ex.	Date	Description	App. Pages
F	May 8, 2026	University of Florida's email stating it located records containing the term "Hanson" that "relate to individuals who are not" Petitioner, and asking whether Petitioner sought those records.	App. 72
G	May 8, 2026	Petitioner's written response clarifying the request's scope, identifying the University's unremedied deficiencies, and stating that absent compliance he would treat the response as a constructive denial and file this Petition.	App. 73–80

Page references are to the consecutively numbered pages of this Appendix.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher A. Hanson

Christopher A. Hanson, Esq. (Fla. Bar No. 44094)

Petitioner, individually and as attorney and next friend for his two minor children

XHI IT

Public Records Request of March 26, 2026 (Ref. W018463-032626)

Subject: Formal Public Records Request: UF College of Journalism / Brechner Freedom of Information Project

Dear Custodian of Public Records for the University of Florida:

Pursuant to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, and Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution, I am requesting access to inspect and copy public records related to myself and ongoing litigation for which I sought help from the Brechner Institute.

This request is specifically directed at records maintained by, sent to, or received by employees within the UF College of Journalism and Communications, specifically including but not limited to the Brechner Freedom of Information Project, Dr. David Cuillier, and Professor Jane Bambauer.

I. TIME FRAME Please search for records created, sent, or received between December 1, 2024, and the date this request is fulfilled.

II. SCOPE OF RECORDS REQUESTED Please produce all written communications, including but not limited to emails, text messages, Signal/WhatsApp messages, internal memoranda, notes, and draft research or survey data, that contain any of the following names, email addresses, case numbers, or keywords:

- **A. Names and Contact Information:** "Christopher Hanson", "Chris Hanson", "cahanson77@yahoo.com", "Hanson"
- **B. Specific Case Numbers:** "25-CA-10255", "24-DR-001150", "2025-CA-002469", "2D2025-1936", "2D2025-0681", "2D2026-0279"
- **C. Targeted Keywords, Individuals & Subjects:** "13th Circuit", "Scott Davis", "Judge Kelly Ayers", "Judge Matthew Felix", "Gina Justice", "Vivian Hodz", "Judge Sherwood Coleman", "Grace Samarkos", "Florida Bar Mandamus", "surveillance video", "insider protectionism"

III. FORMAT AND PRESERVATION

- **Catch-All & Personal Devices:** This request explicitly covers records stored on personal devices (phones, tablets, computers) or private email accounts if they were used by UF employees to conduct official university business, research, or correspondence regarding the above topics.
- **Format:** Please produce all records electronically in their native format or as PDFs.
- **Exemptions & Privilege Log:** If you claim that any record (or portion thereof) is exempt from public disclosure under Chapter 119 or FERPA, you must state the

basis for the exemption in writing, citing the specific statutory exemption. For any withheld record, please provide a log identifying the date, author, recipient, and general subject matter.

I request a rolling production of records as they become available. If you anticipate that this request will trigger a special service charge under §119.07(4)(d), F.S., please provide a written, itemized estimate prior to incurring any costs.

Please acknowledge receipt of this request and provide an estimated timeline for production.

Sincerely,

Chris Hanson

Christopher A. Hanson, Esq.

FL Bar #44094

727-409-5738

cahanson77@yahoo.com

XHI IT

Petitioner's April 22, 2026 Status Follow-Up

*Printed together with the University's March 26, 2026 receipt confirmation
quoting the request.*

Re: Public Records Request :: W018463-032626

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com (cahanson77@yahoo.com)

To: universityofflorida@mycusthelp.net

Bcc: truthoverchaos@outlook.com

Date: Wednesday, April 22, 2026 at 09:52 AM EDT

Good morning. I logged in and it appears my request was forwarded for processing. Can you please let me know the status and results of the search so far? Is there an estimate for when a complete response will be available? Thank you.

Chris Hanson
727-409-5738

On Thursday, March 26, 2026 at 01:59:04 PM EDT, University of Florida Records Support Center <universityofflorida@mycusthelp.net> wrote:



Dear Chris Hanson:

This message confirms the university's receipt of your request for public records request on 3/26/2026. You may track the progress of your request using reference number W018463-032626.

Request: Dear Custodian of Public Records for the University of Florida:

Pursuant to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, and Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution, I am requesting access to inspect and copy public records related to myself and ongoing litigation for which I sought help from the Brechner Institute.

This request is specifically directed at records maintained by, sent to, or received by employees within the UF College of Journalism and Communications, specifically including but not limited to the Brechner Freedom of Information Project, Dr. David Cuillier, and Professor Jane Bambauer.

I. TIME FRAME Please search for records created, sent, or received between December 1, 2024, and the date this request is fulfilled.

II. SCOPE OF RECORDS REQUESTED Please produce all written communications, including but not limited to emails, text messages, Signal/WhatsApp messages, internal memoranda, notes, and draft research or survey data, that contain any of the following names, email addresses, case numbers, or keywords:

- A. Names and Contact Information: "Christopher Hanson", "Chris Hanson", "cahanson77@yahoo.com", "Hanson"
- B. Specific Case Numbers: "25-CA-10255", "24-DR-001150", "2025-CA-002469", "2D2025-1936", "2D2025-0681", "2D2026-0279"
- C. Targeted Keywords, Individuals & Subjects: "13th Circuit", "Scott Davis", "Judge Kelly Ayers", "Judge Matthew Felix", "Gina Justice", "Vivian Hodz", "Judge Sherwood Coleman", "Grace Samarkos", "Florida Bar Mandamus", "surveillance video", "insider protectionism"

III. FORMAT AND PRESERVATION

- Catch-All & Personal Devices: This request explicitly covers records stored on personal devices (phones, tablets, computers) or private email accounts if they were used by UF employees to conduct official university business, research, or correspondence regarding the above topics.
- Format: Please produce all records electronically in their native format or as PDFs.
- Exemptions & Privilege Log: If you claim that any record (or portion thereof) is exempt from public disclosure under Chapter 119 or FERPA, you must state the basis for the exemption in writing, citing the specific statutory exemption. For any withheld record, please provide a log identifying the date, author, recipient, and general subject matter.

I request a rolling production of records as they become available. If you anticipate that this request will trigger a special service charge under §119.07(4)(d), F.S., please provide a written, itemized estimate prior to incurring any costs.

Please acknowledge receipt of this request and provide an estimated timeline for production.

The university will review your request. If we need clarification or have a question, we will contact you.

Please also note: Email searches will not include attachments unless specifically requested.

Our scheduled office hours are Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. Requests received on weekends or holidays will be handled on the next business day.

You can monitor the progress of your request at the link below and you'll receive an email when your request has been completed.

Sincerely,
University of Florida Public Records Request Center

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the Public Records Center.

XHI IT

University of Florida Response of April 23, 2026: Request “Undergoing
the Legal Review”

w Public Records Request W01 46 -0 2626

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com (cahanson77@yahoo.com)
To: truthoverchaos@outlook.com; christophhanson77@gmail.com
Date: Thursday, April 23, 2026 at 02:11 PM EDT

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: University of Florida Records Support Center <universityofflorida@mycusthelp.net>
To: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2026 at 12:39:01 PM EDT
Subject: Public Records Request :: W018463-032626

--- Please respond above this line ---

Dear Chris Hanson,

Your request is undergoing the legal review.

Sincerely,
University of Florida Public Records Request Center

On April 22, 2026, you had written:

Good morning. I logged in and it appears my request was forwarded for processing. Can you please let me know the status and results of the search so far? Is there an estimate for when a complete response will be available? Thank you.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the Public Records Center.

XHI IT

University of Florida Response of May 4, 2026 Declaring the Request
“Complete,” and the Records Produced

Public Records Request :: W018463-032626

From: University of Florida Records Support Center (universityofflorida@mycusthelp.net)

To: cahanson77@yahoo.com

Date: Monday, May 4, 2026 at 03:22 PM EDT

Attachments:

W018463-1.pdf

W018463-2.pdf

--- Please respond above this line ---



05/04/2026

RE: PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST of March 26, 2026, Reference # W018463-032626

Dear Chris Hanson,

The University of Florida received a public information request from you on March 26, 2026. Your request mentioned:

"Dear Custodian of Public Records for the University of Florida:

Pursuant to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, and Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution, I am requesting access to inspect and copy public records related to myself and ongoing litigation for which I sought help from the Brechner Institute.

This request is specifically directed at records maintained by, sent to, or received by employees within the UF College of Journalism and Communications, specifically including but not limited to the Brechner Freedom of Information Project, Dr. David Cuillier, and Professor Jane Bambauer.

I. TIME FRAME Please search for records created, sent, or received between December 1, 2024,

and the date this request is fulfilled.

II. SCOPE OF RECORDS REQUESTED Please produce all written communications, including but not limited to emails, text messages, Signal/WhatsApp messages, internal memoranda, notes, and draft research or survey data, that contain any of the following names, email addresses, case numbers, or keywords:

- A. Names and Contact Information: "Christopher Hanson", "Chris Hanson", "cahanson77@yahoo.com", "Hanson"
- B. Specific Case Numbers: "25-CA-10255", "24-DR-001150", "2025-CA-002469", "2D2025-1936", "2D2025-0681", "2D2026-0279"
- C. Targeted Keywords, Individuals & Subjects: "13th Circuit", "Scott Davis", "Judge Kelly Ayers", "Judge Matthew Felix", "Gina Justice", "Vivian Hodz", "Judge Sherwood Coleman", "Grace Samarkos", "Florida Bar Mandamus", "surveillance video", "insider protectionism"

III. FORMAT AND PRESERVATION

- Catch-All & Personal Devices: This request explicitly covers records stored on personal devices (phones, tablets, computers) or private email accounts if they were used by UF employees to conduct official university business, research, or correspondence regarding the above topics.
- Format: Please produce all records electronically in their native format or as PDFs.
- Exemptions & Privilege Log: If you claim that any record (or portion thereof) is exempt from public disclosure under Chapter 119 or FERPA, you must state the basis for the exemption in writing, citing the specific statutory exemption. For any withheld record, please provide a log identifying the date, author, recipient, and general subject matter.

I request a rolling production of records as they become available. If you anticipate that this request will trigger a special service charge under §119.07(4)(d), F.S., please provide a written, itemized estimate prior to incurring any costs.

Please acknowledge receipt of this request and provide an estimated timeline for production."

The University has reviewed its files and has located responsive records to your request. These records have been included in this correspondence.

This completes the public records request.

Sincerely,
University of Florida Public Records Request Center

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the Public Records Center.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Sent time: 03/12/2026 10:51:13 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson
FL Bar #44094
Phone: 727-409-5738

From: Cuillier, David
Sent time: 03/12/2026 11:57:50 AM
To: cahanson77@yahoo.com; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: RE: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson
FL Bar #44094
Phone: 727-409-5738

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Sent time: 03/12/2026 12:20:01 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the **Brechner Freedom of Information Project** will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: Cuillier, David
Sent time: 03/12/2026 12:25:11 PM
To: cahanson77@yahoo.com; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: RE: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the **Brechner Freedom of Information Project** will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Sent time: 03/12/2026 12:41:49 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having

trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the **Brechner Freedom of Information Project** will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: Cuillier, David
Sent time: 03/12/2026 12:48:29 PM
To: cahanson77@yahoo.com; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: RE: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

I can call you then, Chris.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:42 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM

To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>

Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the **Brechner Freedom of Information Project** will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Sent time: 03/19/2026 10:31:06 AM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave. Thank you for your time. I was really disappointed with what you had to say. From researching your organization and its mission statement and history, I truly thought that you would help me in this nightmare of state and judicial corruption. There are rampant violations of due process, access to judicial records, and the separation of powers. Its a vast network of powerful and corrupt individuals that only a competent and ethical journalist can hold accountable. As I understand it, you simply cant help and dont know any journalists? You did mention something about doing a survey or a study on my case. Yes, please do that. The case numbers are as follows. Also, you mentioned one other person whom I could contact with university of Florida. Will you please send her contact information over for me? Again, I am very disappointed that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project is not able to assist with getting publicity for this important info about active and intentional public records concealment. The violations here, including judges acting unlawfully to protect the powerful, are certainly harming me and family, and certainly many others who are unable to address the misconduct of these powerful insiders. Where should my family turn? If I misunderstood anything or you have any suggestion for me beyond contacting your colleague and continuing to reach out to journalist, please let me know. Ill be happy to get you any information you need about the active public records litigation in the second and 13th/6th circuit for the survey or whatever data review you do. Thank you for your time. Chris Hanson 727-409-5738 FI bar 44094

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Thursday, March 12, 2026, 12:48 PM, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I can call you then, Chris.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:42 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

App. 25

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the **Brechner Freedom of Information Project** will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: Cuillier, David
Sent time: 03/19/2026 10:41:17 AM
To: cahanson77@yahoo.com; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: RE: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

Sorry to bum you out. As I said, it's not our mission to connect people to journalists – it's just not what we do (nor do we do public statements, amici, etc.). Jane Bambauer, the First Amendment prof also is not tasked with connecting people to journalists – she does research. You can reach out to her if you want: janebambauer@ufl.edu

I understand your frustration and wanting to get off the phone, but I was going to refer you to some other folks who might help, who do connect people to journalists/legal help on these issues :

- [Florida First Amendment Foundation](#) – they deal with FOI and First Amendment issues.
- [New First Amendment law clinic at FSU](#) – their mission is to help people with these problems.
- [Florida Center for Government Accountability](#) (they have their own publication, the Trident, that writes about these issues)
- ACLU and FIRE sometimes pick up these issues.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:31 AM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave. Thank you for your time. I was really disappointed with what you had to say. From researching your organization and its mission statement and history, I truly thought that you would help me in this nightmare of state and judicial corruption. There are rampant violations of due process, access to judicial records, and the separation of powers. Its a vast network of powerful and corrupt individuals that only a competent and ethical journalist can hold accountable. As I understand it, you simply cant help and dont know any journalists? You did mention something about doing a survey or a study on my case. Yes, please do that. The case numbers are as follows. Also, you mentioned one other person whom I could contact with university of Florida. Will you please send her contact information over for me? Again, I am very disappointed that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project is not able to assist with getting publicity for this important info about active and intentional public records concealment. The violations here, including judges acting unlawfully to protect the powerful, are certainly harming me and family, and certainly many others who are unable to address the misconduct of these powerful insiders. Where should my family turn? If I misunderstood anything or you have any suggestion for me beyond contacting your colleague and continuing to reach out to journalist, please let me know. Ill be happy to get you any information you need about the active public records litigation in the second and 13th/6th circuit for the survey or whatever data review you do. Thank you for your time. Chris Hanson 727-409-5738 FI bar 44094

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Thursday, March 12, 2026, 12:48 PM, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I can call you then, Chris.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:42 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong.

I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the **Brechner Freedom of Information Project** will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Sent time: 03/19/2026 11:59:56 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Ok. Here are the cites for the public records cases:

Hanson v. The Florida Bar, Case No. 2025-CA-002469 (2nd Circuit Court - Leon County) – Mandamus action to compel Florida Bar's compliance with ministerial duties. Defendant include attorneys Alica Gangi, Allie Huston, Richard Courtemanche, and the Florida Bar.

Hanson v. 13th Judicial Circuit, et al. Case No. 25-CA-10255 (Hillsborough County) – The specific defendants for this action include Judge Matthew Felix, Judge Kelly Ayers, Chief Judge Christopher Sabella.

The case against the Florida Bar is going up on appeal. Judge Dempsey intentionally ignored the law, refusing to even allow the hearing. The Jurisdiction and right to the ministerial duties are conclusive. There is no good faith argument to continue concealment. Yet, they have a Greenberg Traurig lawyer they're paying to try and cement the concealment and the corruption. I don't have much confidence in the courts, even the appellate courts to follow the law.

Obviously, you can see how this is an enormous problem for citizens. You have not only the records custodian and the individual agency or circuit concealing records but you have sitting judges actively assisting in the unlawful concealment of records from public access. That's a massive step towards a tyrannical judiciary. Without an effective press, it is a really terrifying thought to understand where this heads.

Regardless there are also pending records requests for the Attorney General and the Governor's office. I asked the attorney general's office many times to investigate the 13th Circuit. Instead of investigating, they're now actively representing the 13th Circuit in their obviously unlawful concealment of records.

If the goal of The Brechner Institute is research, there is a wealth of data here critically relevant to the constitutional rights of Floridians. I will provide you with whatever you need for your research.

Please let me know what you need from me on that. I'm happy to talk more, but your message was pretty clear. I will try those other resources. thank you.

Chris

On Thursday, March 19, 2026 at 10:41:22 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Sorry to bum you out. As I said, it's not our mission to connect people to journalists – it's just not what we do (nor do we do public statements, amici, etc.). Jane Bambauer, the First Amendment prof also is not tasked with connecting people to journalists – she does research. You can reach out to her if you want: janebambauer@ufl.edu

I understand your frustration and wanting to get off the phone, but I was going to refer you to some other folks who might help, who do connect people to journalists/legal help on these issues :

- [Florida First Amendment Foundation](#) – they deal with FOI and First Amendment issues.
- [New First Amendment law clinic at FSU](#) – their mission is to help people with these problems.
- [Florida Center for Government Accountability](#) (they have their own publication, the Trident, that writes about these issues)
- ACLU and FIRE sometimes pick up these issues.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:31 AM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave. Thank you for your time. I was really disappointed with what you had to say. From researching your organization and its mission statement and history, I truly thought that you would help me in this nightmare of state and judicial corruption. There are rampant violations of due process, access to judicial records, and the separation

of powers. Its a vast network of powerful and corrupt individuals that only a competent and ethical journalist can hold accountable. As I understand it, you simply cant help and dont know any journalists? You did mention something about doing a survey or a study on my case. Yes, please do that. The case numbers are as follows. Also, you mentioned one other person whom I could contact with university of Florida. Will you please send her contact information over for me? Again, I am very disappointed that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project is not able to assist with getting publicity for this important info about active and intentional public records concealment. The violations here, including judges acting unlawfully to protect the powerful, are certainly harming me and family, and certainly many others who are unable to address the misconduct of these powerful insiders. Where should my family turn? If I misunderstood anything or you have any suggestion for me beyond contacting your colleague and continuing to reach out to journalist, please let me know. Ill be happy to get you any information you need about the active public records litigation in the second and 13th/6th circuit for the survey or whatever data review you do. Thank you for your time. Chris Hanson 727-409-5738 FI bar 44094

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Thursday, March 12, 2026, 12:48 PM, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I can call you then, Chris.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:42 PM

To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>

Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM

To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>

Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the **Brechner Freedom of Information Project** will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Sent time: 03/25/2026 11:16:12 AM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Cc: truthoverchaos@outlook.com
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Dear Dr. Cuillier,

Thank you again for your time and for the referrals in your March 19 email. I have reached out to the Florida First Amendment Foundation, the FSU First Amendment Law Clinic, and the Florida Center for Government Accountability, and I appreciate those suggestions.

I do want to respectfully follow up on two things, and I hope you will receive this in the constructive spirit in which it is intended.

You mentioned the possibility of including my situation in a survey or data review. I want to take you up on that. The active public records litigation I have pending in both the Second District and the 13th/6th Circuit involves what I believe are clear, documented, and intentional violations of Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution and Rule 2.420 — including confirmed existence of records, payment made for those records, and deliberate withholding by the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court. Please let me know what information you need to include this government misconduct in your data and research.

Also, I did more research on Brechner. It appears that our interests are perfectly aligned for the same objectives - constitutional transparency and accountability.

On the subject of Florida government secrecy specifically, you have been outspoken and publicly engaged:

— Just last month, you published a widely syndicated op-ed calling Florida's trend toward blocking public records access "an all-out slide," noting that Florida now charges an average of \$1,623 per public records request and arguing that the state needs independent enforcement of its sunshine laws.

— In July 2025, you publicly criticized the State of Florida for scrubbing contract records for the Alligator Alcatraz detention facility from the public FACTS database, calling it a transparency failure on a project of enormous public interest.

— You have stated publicly that government agencies don't fund records compliance because "why would government officials put a lot of money into something that embarrasses them or exposes them to potential embarrassment?" — which is precisely the dynamic I am exposing in my case, in a dramatic example of government unlawfully thwarting transparency and accountability of officials.

— Your organization's research identified the risk of selective prosecution when records that embarrass government officials are exposed.

— Your own biography notes that you support news organizations in crafting amicus briefs and appeals in public records matters.

With that background, I am left wondering: what is it about my situation — a sitting circuit court and the Florida Bar allegedly withholding confirmed, paid-for records to shield powerful insiders from embarrassment, with active litigation pending — that places it outside the scope of what Brechner engages with? This has become a very emotional issue for me. And if I didn't explain it well, I'm happy to provide additional information.

Please let me know what about my story came across as different from other cases with which Brechner does engage. I can then know whether getting you more info about possible engagement for public comments etc., is worth the time.

Being a dad is the most important thing in the world. To have the Court system attempt to bring a strangerGAL into their lives purely out of retaliation against me (for pursuing public records and accountability) is an incredibly egregious example of government overreach. I would think that my situation would be your "white whale" - perfectly exposing the extent of government non-compliance and malfeasance.

I remain grateful for your time and your referrals. I just want to make sure this opportunity — to document a real, ongoing, well-evidenced example of exactly the patterns your own published research tracks — is not missed. At a minimum, please let me know what you need from me in order to include my cases in your data and research.

Respectfully,

Chris Hanson
FL Bar #44094
727-409-5738
cahanson77@yahoo.com

On Thursday, March 19, 2026 at 10:41:22 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Sorry to bum you out. As I said, it's not our mission to connect people to journalists – it's just not what we do (nor do we do public statements, amici, etc.). Jane Bambauer, the First Amendment prof also is not tasked with connecting people to journalists – she does research. You can reach out to her if you want: janebambauer@ufl.edu

I understand your frustration and wanting to get off the phone, but I was going to refer you to some other folks who might help, who do connect people to journalists/legal help on these issues :

- [Florida First Amendment Foundation](#) – they deal with FOI and First Amendment issues.
- [New First Amendment law clinic at FSU](#) – their mission is to help people with these problems.
- [Florida Center for Government Accountability](#) (they have their own publication, the Trident, that writes about these issues)
- ACLU and FIRE sometimes pick up these issues.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:31 AM

To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>

Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave. Thank you for your time. I was really disappointed with what you had to say. From researching your organization and its mission statement and history, I truly thought that you would help me in this nightmare of state and judicial corruption. There are rampant violations of due process, access to judicial records, and the separation of powers. Its a vast network of powerful and corrupt individuals that only a competent and ethical journalist can hold accountable. As I understand it, you simply cant help and dont know any journalists? You did mention something about doing a survey or a study on my case. Yes, please do that. The case numbers are as follows. Also, you mentioned one other person whom I could contact with university of Florida. Will you please send her contact information over for me? Again, I am very disappointed that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project is not able to assist with getting publicity for this important info about active and intentional public records concealment. The violations here, including judges acting unlawfully to protect the powerful, are certainly harming me and family, and certainly many others who are unable to address the misconduct of these powerful insiders. Where should my family turn? If I misunderstood anything or you have any suggestion for me beyond contacting your colleague and continuing to reach out to journalist, please let me know. Ill be happy to get you any information you need about the active public records litigation in the second and 13th/6th circuit for the survey or whatever data review you do. Thank you for your time. Chris Hanson 727-409-5738 FI bar 44094

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Thursday, March 12, 2026, 12:48 PM, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I can call you then, Chris.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:42 PM

To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>

Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

App. 34

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM
To: [cjc-svc-brechner-report](mailto:cjc-svc-brechner-report@jou.ufl.edu) <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: [cjc-svc-brechner-report](mailto:cjc-svc-brechner-report@jou.ufl.edu) <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of

corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: Cuillier, David
Sent time: 03/25/2026 12:31:28 PM
To: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

Chris,

Yes, you appear passionate about the topic, and that is great. Unfortunately, I can't help you with your request in getting reporters to cover your story. I don't do that - I provide research-based context and quotes/columns to them when they reach out to me (the examples you noted). You might be able to get a reporter or one of those groups I provided interested in your situation. I will keep your situation in mind, though, in case future relevant research studies arise.

Best,

Dave

On Mar 25, 2026, at 10:16 ?AM, cahanson77@yahoo.com wrote:

-257 ?

[External Email]

Dear Dr. Cuillier,

Thank you again for your time and for the referrals in your March 19 email. I have reached out to the Florida First Amendment Foundation, the FSU First Amendment Law Clinic, and the Florida Center for Government Accountability, and I appreciate those suggestions.

I do want to respectfully follow up on two things, and I hope you will receive this in the constructive spirit in which it is intended.

You mentioned the possibility of including my situation in a survey or data review. I want to take you up on that. The active public records litigation I have pending in both the Second District and the 13th/6th Circuit involves what I believe are clear, documented, and intentional violations of Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution and Rule 2.420 — including confirmed existence of records, payment made for those records, and deliberate withholding by the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court. Please let me know what information you need to include this government misconduct in your data and research.

Also, I did more research on Brechner. It appears that our interests are perfectly aligned for the same objectives - constitutional transparency and accountability.

On the subject of Florida government secrecy specifically, you have been outspoken and publicly engaged:

— Just last month, you published a widely syndicated op-ed calling Florida's trend toward blocking public records access "an all-out slide," noting that Florida now charges an average of \$1,623 per public records request and arguing that the state needs independent enforcement of its sunshine laws.

— In July 2025, you publicly criticized the State of Florida for scrubbing contract records for the Alligator Alcatraz detention facility from the public FACTS database, calling it a transparency failure on a project of enormous public interest.

— You have stated publicly that government agencies don't fund records compliance because "why would government officials put a lot of money into something that embarrasses them or exposes them to potential embarrassment?" — which is precisely the dynamic I am exposing in my case, in a dramatic example of government unlawfully thwarting transparency and accountability of officials.

— Your organization's research identified the risk of selective prosecution when records that embarrass government officials are exposed.

— Your own biography notes that you support news organizations in crafting amicus briefs and appeals in public records matters.

With that background, I am left wondering: what is it about my situation — a sitting circuit court and the

Florida Bar allegedly withholding confirmed, paid-for records to shield powerful insiders from embarrassment, with active litigation pending — that places it outside the scope of what Brechner engages with? This has become a very emotional issue for me. And if I didn't explain it well, I'm happy to provide additional information.

Please let me know what about my story came across as different from other cases with which Brechner does engage. I can then know whether getting you more info about possible engagement for public comments etc., is worth the time.

Being a dad is the most important thing in the world. To have the Court system attempt to bring a strangerGAL into their lives purely out of retaliation against me (for pursuing public records and accountability) is an incredibly egregious example of government overreach. I would think that my situation would be your "white whale" - perfectly exposing the extent of government non-compliance and malfeasance.

I remain grateful for your time and your referrals. I just want to make sure this opportunity — to document a real, ongoing, well-evidenced example of exactly the patterns your own published research tracks — is not missed. At a minimum, please let me know what you need from me in order to include my cases in your data and research.

Respectfully,

Chris Hanson
FL Bar #44094
727-409-5738
cahanson77@yahoo.com

On Thursday, March 19, 2026 at 10:41:22 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Sorry to bum you out. As I said, it's not our mission to connect people to journalists — it's just not what we do (nor do we do public statements, amici, etc.). Jane Bambauer, the First Amendment prof also is not tasked with connecting people to journalists — she does research. You can reach out to her if you want: janebambauer@ufl.edu

I understand your frustration and wanting to get off the phone, but I was going to refer you to some other folks who might help, who do connect people to journalists/legal help on these issues :

- [Florida First Amendment Foundation](#) — they deal with FOI and First Amendment issues.
- [New First Amendment law clinic at FSU](#) — their mission is to help people with these problems.
- [Florida Center for Government Accountability](#) (they have their own publication, the Trident, that writes about these issues)
- ACLU and FIRE sometimes pick up these issues.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:31 AM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave. Thank you for your time. I was really disappointed with what you had to say. From researching your organization and its mission statement and history, I truly thought that you would help me in this nightmare of state and judicial corruption. There are rampant violations of due process, access to judicial records, and the separation of powers. Its a vast network of powerful and corrupt individuals that only a competent and ethical journalist can hold accountable. As I understand it, you simply cant help and dont know any journalists? You did mention something about doing a survey or a study on my case. Yes, please do that. The case numbers are as follows. Also, you mentioned one other person whom I could contact with university of Florida. Will you please send her contact information over for me? Again, I am very disappointed that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project is not able to assist with getting publicity for this important info about active and intentional public records concealment. The violations here, including judges acting unlawfully to protect the powerful, are

certainly harming me and family, and certainly many others who are unable to address the misconduct of these powerful insiders. Where should my family turn? If I misunderstood anything or you have any suggestion for me beyond contacting your colleague and continuing to reach out to journalist, please let me know. Ill be happy to get you any information you need about the active public records litigation in the second and 13th/6th circuit for the survey or whatever data review you do. Thank you for your time. Chris Hanson 727-409-5738 FI bar 44094

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Thursday, March 12, 2026, 12:48 PM, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I can call you then, Chris.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:42 PM

To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>

Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM

To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>

Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I

can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM

To: [cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>](mailto:cjc-svc-brechner-report@jou.ufl.edu); Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>

Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the **Brechner Freedom of Information Project** will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Sent time: 03/25/2026 04:22:37 PM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks for getting back to me so quickly. Is there something that prohibits you and Brechner Institute by rule or ethically from suggesting contacts for a story like mine.

It seems to me that logically, journalistic ethics would support exposure for a story that documents government abuses directly contrary to your organization's mission. I'm trying to figure out if there's something actively preventing you from assisting. Is there any rule or ethical code that I can review to help me understand.

I'm, to the degree I can, acting as my own reporter on this. It's really distressing how isolating and powerful these constitutional violations are. Even people who should be outraged and first in line to help, seem oddly disinterested.

Can you or someone at the Brechner institute provide me a quote to use in my writing on these violations?

I hope you can understand how concerning it is for me, based on the public information on Brechner's history and recent work, if you're position on this massive, coordinated violation, is "we can't talk about or do anything but refer you out to others."

Thanks for any further explanation or comment you're willing to offer.

Chris

On Wednesday, March 25, 2026 at 12:31:32 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Yes, you appear passionate about the topic, and that is great. Unfortunately, I can't help you with your request in getting reporters to cover your story. I don't do that - I provide research-based context and quotes/columns to them when they reach out to me (the examples you noted). You might be able to get a reporter or one of those groups I provided interested in your situation. I will keep your situation in mind, though, in case future relevant research studies arise.

Best,

Dave

On Mar 25, 2026, at 10:16 ?AM, cahanson77@yahoo.com wrote:

-257 ?

[External Email]

Dear Dr. Cuillier,

Thank you again for your time and for the referrals in your March 19 email. I have reached out to the Florida First Amendment Foundation, the FSU First Amendment Law Clinic, and the Florida Center for Government Accountability, and I appreciate those suggestions.

I do want to respectfully follow up on two things, and I hope you will receive this in the constructive spirit in which it is intended.

You mentioned the possibility of including my situation in a survey or data review. I want to take you up on that. The active public records litigation I have pending in both the Second District and the 13th/6th Circuit involves what I believe are clear, documented, and intentional violations of Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution and Rule 2.420 — including confirmed existence of records, payment made for those records, and deliberate withholding by the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court. Please let me know what information you need to include this government misconduct in your data and research.

Also, I did more research on Brechner. It appears that our interests are perfectly aligned for the same objectives - constitutional transparency and accountability.

On the subject of Florida government secrecy specifically, you have been outspoken and publicly engaged:

— Just last month, you published a widely syndicated op-ed calling Florida's trend toward blocking public records access "an all-out slide," noting that Florida now charges an average of \$1,623 per public records request and arguing that the state needs independent enforcement of its sunshine laws.

— In July 2025, you publicly criticized the State of Florida for scrubbing contract records for the Alligator Alcatraz detention facility from the public FACTS database, calling it a transparency failure on a project of enormous public interest.

— You have stated publicly that government agencies don't fund records compliance because "why would government officials put a lot of money into something that embarrasses them or exposes them to potential embarrassment?" — which is precisely the dynamic I am exposing in my case, in a dramatic example of government unlawfully thwarting transparency and accountability of officials.

— Your organization's research identified the risk of selective prosecution when records that embarrass government officials are exposed.

— Your own biography notes that you support news organizations in crafting amicus briefs and appeals in public records matters.

With that background, I am left wondering: what is it about my situation — a sitting circuit court and the Florida Bar allegedly withholding confirmed, paid-for records to shield powerful insiders from embarrassment, with active litigation pending — that places it outside the scope of what Brechner engages with? This has become a very emotional issue for me. And if I didn't explain it well, I'm happy to provide additional information.

Please let me know what about my story came across as different from other cases with which Brechner does engage. I can then know whether getting you more info about possible engagement for public comments etc., is worth the time.

Being a dad is the most important thing in the world. To have the Court system attempt to bring a strangerGAL into their lives purely out of retaliation against me (for pursuing public records and accountability) is an incredibly egregious example of government overreach. I would think that my situation would be your "white whale" - perfectly exposing the extent of government non-compliance and malfeasance.

I remain grateful for your time and your referrals. I just want to make sure this opportunity — to document a real, ongoing, well-evidenced example of exactly the patterns your own published research tracks — is not missed. At a minimum, please let me know what you need from me in order to include my cases in your data and research.

Respectfully,

Chris Hanson
FL Bar #44094
727-409-5738
cahanson77@yahoo.com

On Thursday, March 19, 2026 at 10:41:22 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Sorry to bum you out. As I said, it's not our mission to connect people to journalists — it's just not what we do (nor do we do public statements, amici, etc.). Jane Bambauer, the First Amendment prof also is not tasked with connecting people to journalists — she does research. You can reach out to her if you want: janebambauer@ufl.edu

I understand your frustration and wanting to get off the phone, but I was going to refer you to some other folks who might help, who do connect people to journalists/legal help on these issues :

- [Florida First Amendment Foundation](#) — they deal with FOI and First Amendment issues.
- [New First Amendment law clinic at FSU](#) — their mission is to help people with these problems.

- Florida Center for Government Accountability (they have their own publication, the Trident, that writes about these issues)
- ACLU and FIRE sometimes pick up these issues.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:31 AM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave. Thank you for your time. I was really disappointed with what you had to say. From researching your organization and its mission statement and history, I truly thought that you would help me in this nightmare of state and judicial corruption. There are rampant violations of due process, access to judicial records, and the separation of powers. Its a vast network of powerful and corrupt individuals that only a competent and ethical journalist can hold accountable. As I understand it, you simply cant help and dont know any journalists? You did mention something about doing a survey or a study on my case. Yes, please do that. The case numbers are as follows. Also, you mentioned one other person whom I could contact with university of Florida. Will you please send her contact information over for me? Again, I am very disappointed that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project is not able to assist with getting publicity for this important info about active and intentional public records concealment. The violations here, including judges acting unlawfully to protect the powerful, are certainly harming me and family, and certainly many others who are unable to address the misconduct of these powerful insiders. Where should my family turn? If I misunderstood anything or you have any suggestion for me beyond contacting your colleague and continuing to reach out to journalist, please let me know. Ill be happy to get you any information you need about the active public records litigation in the second and 13th/6th circuit for the survey or whatever data review you do. Thank you for your time. Chris Hanson 727-409-5738 FI bar 44094

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Thursday, March 12, 2026, 12:48 PM, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I can call you then, Chris.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:42 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM

To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>

Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the **Brechner Freedom of Information Project** will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: Cuillier, David
Sent time: 03/25/2026 04:28:38 PM
To: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420
Now rule or policy, I just have to triage.

Dave

On Mar 25, 2026, at 1:22 ?PM, cahanson77@yahoo.com wrote:

-257 ?

[External Email]

Thanks for getting back to me so quickly. Is there something that prohibits you and Brechner Institute by rule or ethically from suggesting contacts for a story like mine.

It seems to me that logically, journalistic ethics would support exposure for a story that documents government abuses directly contrary to your organization's mission. I'm trying to figure out if there's something actively preventing you from assisting. Is there any rule or ethical code that I can review to help me understand.

I'm, to the degree I can, acting as my own reporter on this. It's really distressing how isolating and powerful these constitutional violations are. Even people who should be outraged and first in line to help, seem oddly disinterested.

Can you or someone at the Brechner institute provide me a quote to use in my writing on these violations?

I hope you can understand how concerning it is for me, based on the public information on Brechner's history and recent work, if you're position on this massive, coordinated violation, is "we can't talk about or do anything but refer you out to others."

Thanks for any further explanation or comment you're willing to offer.

Chris

On Wednesday, March 25, 2026 at 12:31:32 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Yes, you appear passionate about the topic, and that is great. Unfortunately, I can't help you with your request in getting reporters to cover your story. I don't do that - I provide research-based context and quotes/columns to them when they reach out to me (the examples you noted). You might be able to get a reporter or one of those groups I provided interested in your situation. I will keep your situation in mind, though, in case future relevant research studies arise.

Best,

Dave

On Mar 25, 2026, at 10:16 ?AM, cahanson77@yahoo.com wrote:

-257 ?

[External Email]

Dear Dr. Cuillier,

Thank you again for your time and for the referrals in your March 19 email. I have reached out to the Florida First Amendment Foundation, the FSU First Amendment Law Clinic, and the Florida Center for Government Accountability, and I appreciate those suggestions.

I do want to respectfully follow up on two things, and I hope you will receive this in the constructive spirit in which it is intended.

You mentioned the possibility of including my situation in a survey or data review. I want to take you up on that. The active public records litigation I have pending in both the Second District and the 13th/6th Circuit involves what I believe are clear, documented, and intentional violations of Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution and Rule 2.420 — including confirmed existence of records, payment made for those records, and deliberate withholding by the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court. Please let me know what information you need to include this government misconduct in your data and research.

Also, I did more research on Brechner. It appears that our interests are perfectly aligned for the same objectives - constitutional transparency and accountability.

On the subject of Florida government secrecy specifically, you have been outspoken and publicly engaged:

— Just last month, you published a widely syndicated op-ed calling Florida's trend toward blocking public records access "an all-out slide," noting that Florida now charges an average of \$1,623 per public records request and arguing that the state needs independent enforcement of its sunshine laws.

— In July 2025, you publicly criticized the State of Florida for scrubbing contract records for the Alligator Alcatraz detention facility from the public FACTS database, calling it a transparency failure on a project of enormous public interest.

— You have stated publicly that government agencies don't fund records compliance because "why would government officials put a lot of money into something that embarrasses them or exposes them to potential embarrassment?" — which is precisely the dynamic I am exposing in my case, in a dramatic example of government unlawfully thwarting transparency and accountability of officials.

— Your organization's research identified the risk of selective prosecution when records that embarrass government officials are exposed.

— Your own biography notes that you support news organizations in crafting amicus briefs and appeals in public records matters.

With that background, I am left wondering: what is it about my situation — a sitting circuit court and the Florida Bar allegedly withholding confirmed, paid-for records to shield powerful insiders from embarrassment, with active litigation pending — that places it outside the scope of what Brechner engages with? This has become a very emotional issue for me. And if I didn't explain it well, I'm happy to provide additional information.

Please let me know what about my story came across as different from other cases with which Brechner does engage. I can then know whether getting you more info about possible engagement for public comments etc., is worth the time.

Being a dad is the most important thing in the world. To have the Court system attempt to bring a strangerGAL into their lives purely out of retaliation against me (for pursuing public records and accountability) is an incredibly egregious example of government overreach. I would think that my situation would be your "white whale" - perfectly exposing the extent of government non-compliance and malfeasance.

I remain grateful for your time and your referrals. I just want to make sure this opportunity — to document a real, ongoing, well-evidenced example of exactly the patterns your own published research tracks — is not missed. At a minimum, please let me know what you need from me in order to include my cases in your data and research.

Respectfully,

Chris Hanson
FL Bar #44094
727-409-5738
cahanson77@yahoo.com

On Thursday, March 19, 2026 at 10:41:22 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Sorry to bum you out. As I said, it's not our mission to connect people to journalists – it's just not what we do (nor do we do public statements, amici, etc.). Jane Bambauer, the First Amendment prof also is not tasked with connecting people to journalists – she does research. You can reach out to her if you want: janebambauer@ufl.edu

I understand your frustration and wanting to get off the phone, but I was going to refer you to some other folks who might help, who do connect people to journalists/legal help on these issues :

- [Florida First Amendment Foundation](#) – they deal with FOI and First Amendment issues.
- New [First Amendment law clinic at FSU](#) – their mission is to help people with these problems.
- [Florida Center for Government Accountability](#) (they have their own publication, the Trident, that writes about these issues)
- ACLU and FIRE sometimes pick up these issues.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:31 AM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave. Thank you for your time. I was really disappointed with what you had to say. From researching your organization and its mission statement and history, I truly thought that you would help me in this nightmare of state and judicial corruption. There are rampant violations of due process, access to judicial records, and the separation of powers. Its a vast network of powerful and corrupt individuals that only a competent and ethical journalist can hold accountable. As I understand it, you simply cant help and dont know any journalists? You did mention something about doing a survey or a study on my case. Yes, please do that. The case numbers are as follows. Also, you mentioned one other person whom I could contact with university of Florida. Will you please send her contact information over for me? Again, I am very disappointed that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project is not able to assist with getting publicity for this important info about active and intentional public records concealment. The violations here, including judges acting unlawfully to protect the powerful, are certainly harming me and family, and certainly many others who are unable to address the misconduct of these powerful insiders. Where should my family turn? If I misunderstood anything or you have any suggestion for me beyond contacting your colleague and continuing to reach out to journalist, please let me know. Ill be happy to get you any information you need about the active public records litigation in the second and 13th/6th circuit for the survey or whatever data review you do. Thank you for your time. Chris Hanson 727-409-5738 FI bar 44094

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Thursday, March 12, 2026, 12:48 PM, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I can call you then, Chris.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:42 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the **Brechner Freedom of Information Project** will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Sent time: 03/25/2026 06:35:11 PM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Ok. Just so I'm clear.

You are currently unable or unwilling to offer me any help or guidance besides the referrals to other individuals and institutions.

You have no comment that you're willing to make on my situation.

You have no interest in reviewing more of the case documents.

You're not going to use my cases in any data or research projects currently, but you'll keep it mind and let me know if you or the Brechner Institute can help or use my case in your mission.

Please confirm all that's right or let me know if I'm mistaken on anything. Thanks.

Chris

On Wednesday, March 25, 2026 at 04:28:42 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Now rule or policy, I just have to triage.

Dave

On Mar 25, 2026, at 1:22 ?PM, cahanson77@yahoo.com wrote:

-257 ?

[External Email]

Thanks for getting back to me so quickly. Is there something that prohibits you and Brechner Institute by rule or ethically from suggesting contacts for a story like mine.

It seems to me that logically, journalistic ethics would support exposure for a story that documents government abuses directly contrary to your organization's mission. I'm trying to figure out if there's something actively preventing you from assisting. Is there any rule or ethical code that I can review to help me understand.

I'm, to the degree I can, acting as my own reporter on this. It's really distressing how isolating and powerful these constitutional violations are. Even people who should be outraged and first in line to help, seem oddly disinterested.

Can you or someone at the Brechner institute provide me a quote to use in my writing on these violations?

I hope you can understand how concerning it is for me, based on the public information on Brechner's history and recent work, if you're position on this massive, coordinated violation, is "we can't talk about or do anything but refer you out to others."

Thanks for any further explanation or comment you're willing to offer.

Chris

On Wednesday, March 25, 2026 at 12:31:32 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Yes, you appear passionate about the topic, and that is great. Unfortunately, I can't help you with your request in getting reporters to cover your story. I don't do that - I provide research-based context and quotes/columns to them when they reach out to me (the examples you noted). You might be able to get a reporter or one of those groups I provided interested in your situation. I will keep your situation in mind, though, in case future relevant research studies arise.

Best,

Dave

On Mar 25, 2026, at 10:16 ?AM, cahanson77@yahoo.com wrote:

-257 ?

(External Email)

Dear Dr. Cuillier,

Thank you again for your time and for the referrals in your March 19 email. I have reached out to the Florida First Amendment Foundation, the FSU First Amendment Law Clinic, and the Florida Center for Government Accountability, and I appreciate those suggestions.

I do want to respectfully follow up on two things, and I hope you will receive this in the constructive spirit in which it is intended.

You mentioned the possibility of including my situation in a survey or data review. I want to take you up on that. The active public records litigation I have pending in both the Second District and the 13th/6th Circuit involves what I believe are clear, documented, and intentional violations of Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution and Rule 2.420 — including confirmed existence of records, payment made for those records, and deliberate withholding by the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court. Please let me know what information you need to include this government misconduct in your data and research.

Also, I did more research on Brechner. It appears that our interests are perfectly aligned for the same objectives - constitutional transparency and accountability.

On the subject of Florida government secrecy specifically, you have been outspoken and publicly engaged:

— Just last month, you published a widely syndicated op-ed calling Florida's trend toward blocking public records access "an all-out slide," noting that Florida now charges an average of \$1,623 per public records request and arguing that the state needs independent enforcement of its sunshine laws.

— In July 2025, you publicly criticized the State of Florida for scrubbing contract records for the Alligator Alcatraz detention facility from the public FACTS database, calling it a transparency failure on a project of enormous public interest.

— You have stated publicly that government agencies don't fund records compliance because "why would government officials put a lot of money into something that embarrasses them or exposes them to potential embarrassment?" — which is precisely the dynamic I am exposing in my case, in a dramatic example of government unlawfully thwarting transparency and accountability of officials.

— Your organization's research identified the risk of selective prosecution when records that embarrass government officials are exposed.

— Your own biography notes that you support news organizations in crafting amicus briefs and appeals in public records matters.

With that background, I am left wondering: what is it about my situation — a sitting circuit court and the Florida Bar allegedly withholding confirmed, paid-for records to shield powerful insiders from embarrassment, with active litigation pending — that places it outside the scope of what Brechner engages with? This has become a very emotional issue for me. And if I didn't explain it well, I'm happy to provide additional information.

Please let me know what about my story came across as different from other

cases with which Brechner does engage. I can then know whether getting you more info about possible engagement for public comments etc., is worth the time.

Being a dad is the most important thing in the world. To have the Court system attempt to bring a strangerGAL into their lives purely out of retaliation against me (for pursuing public records and accountability) is an incredibly egregious example of government overreach. I would think that my situation would be your "white whale" - perfectly exposing the extent of government non-compliance and malfeasance.

I remain grateful for your time and your referrals. I just want to make sure this opportunity — to document a real, ongoing, well-evidenced example of exactly the patterns your own published research tracks — is not missed. At a minimum, please let me know what you need from me in order to include my cases in your data and research.

Respectfully,

Chris Hanson
FL Bar #44094
727-409-5738
cahanson77@yahoo.com

On Thursday, March 19, 2026 at 10:41:22 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Sorry to bum you out. As I said, it's not our mission to connect people to journalists – it's just not what we do (nor do we do public statements, amici, etc.). Jane Bambauer, the First Amendment prof also is not tasked with connecting people to journalists – she does research. You can reach out to her if you want: janebambauer@ufl.edu

I understand your frustration and wanting to get off the phone, but I was going to refer you to some other folks who might help, who do connect people to journalists/legal help on these issues :

- [Florida First Amendment Foundation](#) – they deal with FOI and First Amendment issues.
- New [First Amendment law clinic at FSU](#) – their mission is to help people with these problems.
- [Florida Center for Government Accountability](#) (they have their own publication, the Trident, that writes about these issues)
- ACLU and FIRE sometimes pick up these issues.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:31 AM

To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>

Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave. Thank you for your time. I was really disappointed with what you had to say. From researching your organization and its mission statement and history, I truly thought that you would help me in this nightmare of state and judicial corruption. There are rampant violations of due process, access to judicial records, and the separation of powers. Its a vast network of powerful and corrupt individuals that only a competent and ethical journalist can hold accountable. As I understand it, you simply cant help and dont know any journalists? You did mention something about doing a survey or a study on my case. Yes, please do that. The case numbers are as follows. Also, you mentioned one other person whom I could contact with university of Florida. Will you please send her contact information over for me? Again, I am very disappointed that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project is not able to assist with getting publicity for this important info about active and intentional public records concealment. The violations here, including judges acting unlawfully to protect the powerful, are certainly harming me and family, and certainly many others who are unable to address the misconduct of these powerful insiders. Where should my family turn? If I misunderstood anything or you have any suggestion for me beyond

contacting your colleague and continuing to reach out to journalist, please let me know. I'll be happy to get you any information you need about the active public records litigation in the second and 13th/6th circuit for the survey or whatever data review you do. Thank you for your time. Chris Hanson 727-409-5738 FI bar 44094

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Thursday, March 12, 2026, 12:48 PM, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I can call you then, Chris.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:42 PM

To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>

Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM

To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>

Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: [cjc-svc-brechner-report](mailto:cjc-svc-brechner-report@jou.ufl.edu) <cjc-svc-brechner-report@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the *Search for Freedom of Information from FL* will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: Cuillier, David
Sent time: 03/25/2026 09:45:48 PM
To: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Subject: RE: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

Yep, that is correct.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 3:35 PM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Ok. Just so I'm clear.

You are currently unable or unwilling to offer me any help or guidance besides the referrals to other individuals and institutions.

You have no comment that you're willing to make on my situation.

You have no interest in reviewing more of the case documents.

You're not going to use my cases in any data or research projects currently, but you'll keep it mind and let me know if you or the Brechner Institute can help or use my case in your mission.

Please confirm all that's right or let me know if I'm mistaken on anything. Thanks.

Chris

On Wednesday, March 25, 2026 at 04:28:42 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Now rule or policy, I just have to triage.

Dave

On Mar 25, 2026, at 1:22 ?PM, cahanson77@yahoo.com wrote:

-257 ?

[External Email]

Thanks for getting back to me so quickly. Is there something that prohibits you and Brechner Institute by rule or ethically from suggesting contacts for a story like mine.

It seems to me that logically, journalistic ethics would support exposure for a story that documents government abuses directly contrary to your organization's mission. I'm trying to figure out if there's something actively preventing you from assisting. Is there any rule or ethical code that I can review to help me understand.

I'm, to the degree I can, acting as my own reporter on this. It's really distressing how isolating and powerful these constitutional violations are. Even people who should be outraged and first in line to help, seem oddly disinterested.

Can you or someone at the Brechner institute provide me a quote to use in my writing on these violations?

I hope you can understand how concerning it is for me, based on the public information on Brechner's history and recent work, if you're position on this massive, coordinated violation, is "we can't talk about or do anything but refer you out to others."

App. 55

Thanks for any further explanation or comment you're willing to offer.

Chris

On Wednesday, March 25, 2026 at 12:31:32 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Yes, you appear passionate about the topic, and that is great. Unfortunately, I can't help you with your request in getting reporters to cover your story. I don't do that - I provide research-based context and quotes/columns to them when they reach out to me (the examples you noted). You might be able to get a reporter or one of those groups I provided interested in your situation. I will keep your situation in mind, though, in case future relevant research studies arise.

Best,

Dave

On Mar 25, 2026, at 10:16 ?AM, cahanson77@yahoo.com wrote:

-257 ?

[External Email]

Dear Dr. Cuillier,

Thank you again for your time and for the referrals in your March 19 email. I have reached out to the Florida First Amendment Foundation, the FSU First Amendment Law Clinic, and the Florida Center for Government Accountability, and I appreciate those suggestions.

I do want to respectfully follow up on two things, and I hope you will receive this in the constructive spirit in which it is intended.

You mentioned the possibility of including my situation in a survey or data review. I want to take you up on that. The active public records litigation I have pending in both the Second District and the 13th/6th Circuit involves what I believe are clear, documented, and intentional violations of Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution and Rule 2.420 — including confirmed existence of records, payment made for those records, and deliberate withholding by the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court. Please let me know what information you need to include this government misconduct in your data and research.

Also, I did more research on Brechner. It appears that our interests are perfectly aligned for the same objectives - constitutional transparency and accountability.

On the subject of Florida government secrecy specifically, you have been outspoken and publicly engaged:

— Just last month, you published a widely syndicated op-ed calling Florida's trend toward blocking public records access "an all-out slide," noting that Florida now charges an average of \$1,623 per public records request and arguing that the state needs independent enforcement of its sunshine laws.

— In July 2025, you publicly criticized the State of Florida for scrubbing contract records for the Alligator Alcatraz detention facility from the public FACTS database, calling it a transparency failure on a project of enormous public interest.

— You have stated publicly that government agencies don't fund records compliance because "why would government officials put a lot of money into something that embarrasses them or exposes them to potential embarrassment?" — which is precisely the dynamic I am exposing in my case, in a dramatic example of government unlawfully thwarting transparency and accountability of officials.

— Your organization's research identified the risk of selective prosecution when records that embarrass government officials are exposed.

— Your own biography notes that you support news organizations in crafting amicus briefs

and appeals in public records matters.

With that background, I am left wondering: what is it about my situation — a sitting circuit court and the Florida Bar allegedly withholding confirmed, paid-for records to shield powerful insiders from embarrassment, with active litigation pending — that places it outside the scope of what Brechner engages with? This has become a very emotional issue for me. And if I didn't explain it well, I'm happy to provide additional information.

Please let me know what about my story came across as different from other cases with which Brechner does engage. I can then know whether getting you more info about possible engagement for public comments etc., is worth the time.

Being a dad is the most important thing in the world. To have the Court system attempt to bring a strangerGAL into their lives purely out of retaliation against me (for pursuing public records and accountability) is an incredibly egregious example of government overreach. I would think that my situation would be your "white whale" - perfectly exposing the extent of government non-compliance and malfeasance.

I remain grateful for your time and your referrals. I just want to make sure this opportunity — to document a real, ongoing, well-evidenced example of exactly the patterns your own published research tracks — is not missed. At a minimum, please let me know what you need from me in order to include my cases in your data and research.

Respectfully,

Chris Hanson
FL Bar #44094
727-409-5738
cahanson77@yahoo.com

On Thursday, March 19, 2026 at 10:41:22 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Sorry to bum you out. As I said, it's not our mission to connect people to journalists — it's just not what we do (nor do we do public statements, amici, etc.). Jane Bambauer, the First Amendment prof also is not tasked with connecting people to journalists — she does research. You can reach out to her if you want: janebambauer@ufl.edu

I understand your frustration and wanting to get off the phone, but I was going to refer you to some other folks who might help, who do connect people to journalists/legal help on these issues :

- [Florida First Amendment Foundation](#) — they deal with FOI and First Amendment issues.
- [New First Amendment law clinic at FSU](#) — their mission is to help people with these problems.
- [Florida Center for Government Accountability](#) (they have their own publication, the Trident, that writes about these issues)
- ACLU and FIRE sometimes pick up these issues.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:31 AM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

(External Email)

Hi Dave. Thank you for your time. I was really disappointed with what you had to say. From
Ally 57

researching your organization and its mission statement and history, I truly thought that you would help me in this nightmare of state and judicial corruption. There are rampant violations of due process, access to judicial records, and the separation of powers. Its a vast network of powerful and corrupt individuals that only a competent and ethical journalist can hold accountable. As I understand it, you simply cant help and dont know any journalists? You did mention something about doing a survey or a study on my case. Yes, please do that. The case numbers are as follows. Also, you mentioned one other person whom I could contact with university of Florida. Will you please send her contact information over for me? Again, I am very disappointed that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project is not able to assist with getting publicity for this important info about active and intentional public records concealment. The violations here, including judges acting unlawfully to protect the powerful, are certainly harming me and family, and certainly many others who are unable to address the misconduct of these powerful insiders. Where should my family turn? If I misunderstood anything or you have any suggestion for me beyond contacting your colleague and continuing to reach out to journalist, please let me know. Ill be happy to get you any information you need about the active public records litigation in the second and 13th/6th circuit for the survey or whatever data review you do. Thank you for your time. Chris Hanson 727-409-5738 FI bar 44094

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Thursday, March 12, 2026, 12:48 PM, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I can call you then, Chris.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:42 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the *Special Action of Information Policy* will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com
Sent time: 03/26/2026 07:12:28 AM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Any reason why? Do you support the states actions? Believe records properly withheld? Or theres some mistake in my legal analysis. This is very disappointing. Unless theres some reason for the polar opposite position on my case from Brechners stated mission, this becomes part of the overall analysis regarding rampant judicial corruption and media complicity. Youll find this was a mistake. I assure you that.

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Wednesday, March 25, 2026, 9:45 PM, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Yep, that is correct.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 3:35 PM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Ok. Just so I'm clear.

You are currently unable or unwilling to offer me any help or guidance besides the referrals to other individuals and institutions.

You have no comment that you're willing to make on my situation.

You have no interest in reviewing more of the case documents.

You're not going to use my cases in any data or research projects currently, but you'll keep it mind and let me know if you or the Brechner Institute can help or use my case in your mission.

Please confirm all that's right or let me know if I'm mistaken on anything. Thanks.

Chris

On Wednesday, March 25, 2026 at 04:28:42 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Now rule or policy, I just have to triage.

Dave

On Mar 25, 2026, at 1:22 ?PM, cahanson77@yahoo.com wrote:

-257 ?

[External Email]

Thanks for getting back to me so quickly. Is there something that prohibits you and Brechner Institute by rule or ethically from suggesting contacts for a story like mine.

It seems to me that logically, journalistic ethics would support exposure for a story that documents government abuses directly contrary to your organization's mission. I'm trying to figure out if there's something actively preventing you from assisting. Is there any rule or ethical code that I can review to help me understand.

Apr 60

I'm, to the degree I can, acting as my own reporter on this. It's really distressing how isolating and powerful these constitutional violations are. Even people who should be outraged and first in line to help, seem oddly disinterested.

Can you or someone at the Brechner institute provide me a quote to use in my writing on these violations?

I hope you can understand how concerning it is for me, based on the public information on Brechner's history and recent work, if you're position on this massive, coordinated violation, is "we can't talk about or do anything but refer you out to others."

Thanks for any further explanation or comment you're willing to offer.

Chris

On Wednesday, March 25, 2026 at 12:31:32 PM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Yes, you appear passionate about the topic, and that is great. Unfortunately, I can't help you with your request in getting reporters to cover your story. I don't do that - I provide research-based context and quotes/columns to them when they reach out to me (the examples you noted). You might be able to get a reporter or one of those groups I provided interested in your situation. I will keep your situation in mind, though, in case future relevant research studies arise.

Best,

Dave

On Mar 25, 2026, at 10:16 ?AM, cahanson77@yahoo.com wrote:

-257 ?

[External Email]

Dear Dr. Cuillier,

Thank you again for your time and for the referrals in your March 19 email. I have reached out to the Florida First Amendment Foundation, the FSU First Amendment Law Clinic, and the Florida Center for Government Accountability, and I appreciate those suggestions.

I do want to respectfully follow up on two things, and I hope you will receive this in the constructive spirit in which it is intended.

You mentioned the possibility of including my situation in a survey or data review. I want to take you up on that. The active public records litigation I have pending in both the Second District and the 13th/6th Circuit involves what I believe are clear, documented, and intentional violations of Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution and Rule 2.420 — including confirmed existence of records, payment made for those records, and deliberate withholding by the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court. Please let me know what information you need to include this government misconduct in your data and research.

Also, I did more research on Brechner. It appears that our interests are perfectly aligned for the same objectives - constitutional transparency and accountability.

On the subject of Florida government secrecy specifically, you have been outspoken and publicly engaged:

— Just last month, you published a widely syndicated op-ed calling Florida's trend toward blocking public records access "an all-out slide," noting that Florida now charges an average of \$1,623 per public records request and

arguing that the state needs independent enforcement of its sunshine laws.

— In July 2025, you publicly criticized the State of Florida for scrubbing contract records for the Alligator Alcatraz detention facility from the public FACTS database, calling it a transparency failure on a project of enormous public interest.

— You have stated publicly that government agencies don't fund records compliance because "why would government officials put a lot of money into something that embarrasses them or exposes them to potential embarrassment?" — which is precisely the dynamic I am exposing in my case, in a dramatic example of government unlawfully thwarting transparency and accountability of officials.

— Your organization's research identified the risk of selective prosecution when records that embarrass government officials are exposed.

— Your own biography notes that you support news organizations in crafting amicus briefs and appeals in public records matters.

With that background, I am left wondering: what is it about my situation — a sitting circuit court and the Florida Bar allegedly withholding confirmed, paid-for records to shield powerful insiders from embarrassment, with active litigation pending — that places it outside the scope of what Brechner engages with? This has become a very emotional issue for me. And if I didn't explain it well, I'm happy to provide additional information.

Please let me know what about my story came across as different from other cases with which Brechner does engage. I can then know whether getting you more info about possible engagement for public comments etc., is worth the time.

Being a dad is the most important thing in the world. To have the Court system attempt to bring a strangerGAL into their lives purely out of retaliation against me (for pursuing public records and accountability) is an incredibly egregious example of government overreach. I would think that my situation would be your "white whale" - perfectly exposing the extent of government non-compliance and malfeasance.

I remain grateful for your time and your referrals. I just want to make sure this opportunity — to document a real, ongoing, well-evidenced example of exactly the patterns your own published research tracks — is not missed. At a minimum, please let me know what you need from me in order to include my cases in your data and research.

Respectfully,

Chris Hanson
FL Bar #44094
727-409-5738
cahanson77@yahoo.com

On Thursday, March 19, 2026 at 10:41:22 AM EDT, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Sorry to bum you out. As I said, it's not our mission to connect people to journalists — it's just not what we do (nor do we do public statements, amici, etc.). Jane Bambauer, the First Amendment prof also is not tasked with connecting people to journalists — she does research. You can reach out to her if you want: janebambauer@ufl.edu

I understand your frustration and wanting to get off the phone, but I was going to refer you to some other folks who might help, who do connect people to

journalists/legal help on these issues :

- Florida First Amendment Foundation – they deal with FOI and First Amendment issues.
- New First Amendment law clinic at FSU – their mission is to help people with these problems.
- Florida Center for Government Accountability (they have their own publication, the Trident, that writes about these issues)
- ACLU and FIRE sometimes pick up these issues.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:31 AM
To: Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>; cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave. Thank you for your time. I was really disappointed with what you had to say. From researching your organization and its mission statement and history, I truly thought that you would help me in this nightmare of state and judicial corruption. There are rampant violations of due process, access to judicial records, and the separation of powers. Its a vast network of powerful and corrupt individuals that only a competent and ethical journalist can hold accountable. As I understand it, you simply cant help and dont know any journalists? You did mention something about doing a survey or a study on my case. Yes, please do that. The case numbers are as follows. Also, you mentioned one other person whom I could contact with university of Florida. Will you please send her contact information over for me? Again, I am very disappointed that the Brechner Freedom of Information Project is not able to assist with getting publicity for this important info about active and intentional public records concealment. The violations here, including judges acting unlawfully to protect the powerful, are certainly harming me and family, and certainly many others who are unable to address the misconduct of these powerful insiders. Where should my family turn? If I misunderstood anything or you have any suggestion for me beyond contacting your colleague and continuing to reach out to journalist, please let me know. Ill be happy to get you any information you need about the active public records litigation in the second and 13th/6th circuit for the survey or whatever data review you do. Thank you for your time. Chris Hanson 727-409-5738 FI bar 44094

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Thursday, March 12, 2026, 12:48 PM, Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I can call you then, Chris.

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:42 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Thanks Dave. I have a conference on my calendar for Thursday the 19th at 10am. You can call my cell - 727-409-5738 then or let me know if you prefer zoom or another application.

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 12:25:15 PM EDT, Cuillier, David
<cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

I'm free next week Thursday 9-1 or Friday 9-12 if any of those times work.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:20 PM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Re: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public records requests under Article 1 Section 24 of the constitution and rule 2.420

[External Email]

Hi Dave! Thanks for the response. I would really appreciate a quick discussion next week. The legal issues are as under control as they can be. What's confusing me more are the trends in public access to records, increasing exemptions, costs, and flat-out non-compliance in many cases. I'd really appreciate a few minutes of your time next week. Whenever you get a chance, please let me know what day/time would work and all put it on my calendar. Have a great conference and be safe!

Chris

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 11:57:53 AM EDT, Cuillier, David
<cuillierd@ufl.edu> wrote:

Chris,

Thanks for reaching out. I'm not sure if we are the right people to help – we don't do legal work. We focus on research and education in access to public records (I have a Ph.D., not a J.D., so I can't give legal advice). I'm happy to chat with you, but I wouldn't be able to do so until late next week – I'm organizing a conference that runs Sunday-Tuesday in D.C. and under the gun. But could chat Thursday or Friday next week when I'm back in the office.

Dave

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:51 AM
To: cjc-svc-brechner-report <brechnerreport@jou.ufl.edu>; Cuillier, David <cuillierd@ufl.edu>
Subject: Request for help and/or just for information relating to public
App. 64

[External Email]

Good morning. I've called and left messages a few times. However, I just noticed that I had your email address wrong. I'm having trouble with the Florida Bar and the 13th Circuit Court concealing records that are obviously not exempt from production. They have confirmed the existence and I've paid for them, but they intentionally concealed and continue to conceal the target records. I have the matter in litigation. I believe there is significant judicial misconduct throughout this matter that demonstrates an apparent two-tier system of justice. I'm really hoping that the *Bar Association of Florida* *Report* will look at some of this information with an open mind and an understanding that this type of corruption within the judiciary is dangerous and must be investigated. Rather than overwhelm you with attachments, I think it would be more helpful if someone could speak to me about the case and determine if FAF is willing to get involved. Thank you.

Chris Hanson

FL Bar #44094

Phone: 727-409-5738

XHI IT

Petitioner's Deficiency Letter and § 119.12(1)(b) Notice, May 4, 2026

Re: Public Records Request :: W018463-032626

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com (cahanson77@yahoo.com)

To: universityofflorida@mycusthelp.net; cuillierd@ufl.edu; truthoverchaos@outlook.com

Date: Monday, May 4, 2026 at 05:03 PM EDT

Good afternoon.

I write regarding Public Records Request W018463-032626, submitted March 26, 2026.

Earlier today, on May 4, 2026, your office produced two PDF attachments (W018463-1.pdf and W018463-2.pdf) consisting solely of email communications that I myself initiated and sent to UF employees. Your cover email stated that "This completes the public records request." I must make it clear that I strongly disagree that closure of this request is appropriate.

The materials sought are critical to numerous other pending cases. The course of events and relevant information I already have make the nature of UF's conduct thus far very concerning. What was the delay in producing communications already within my possession? What type of legal review was necessary on producing what's self-evidently already in my possession?

While I appreciate receipt of those records, this production does **not** constitute a complete or compliant response under Chapter 119, Florida Statutes. There are numerous failures in the response, not the least of which being there is nothing stating whether any documents were withheld. Before this public records request is resolved, I need you all to address these clear deficiencies at a minimum:

1. **No Identification of Custodian/Designee.** My request (and subsequent follow-ups on April 24 and April 30, 2026) expressly demanded the name and direct contact information of the specific individual or legal designee processing the request, as required by §119.07(1)(b), Florida Statutes. No such identification has ever been provided.
2. **No Certification of Complete Search.** There has been no written confirmation that a thorough, good-faith search was conducted of all responsive records maintained by, sent to, or received by the named custodians (Brechtner Freedom of Information Project staff, Dr. David Cuillier, Professor Jane Bambauer, and others in the UF College of Journalism and Communications), including records on personal devices or private email accounts used for university business.

3. **Unexplained “Legal Review” and Lack of Privilege Log.** For weeks your office responded only that the request was “undergoing the legal review,” without identifying any specific statutory exemption under Chapter 119 or the Florida Constitution, without providing a privilege log for any withheld records, and without producing non-exempt records on a rolling basis as I repeatedly requested.
4. **Scope of Production.** The records produced contain no internal UF communications, memoranda, notes, drafts, or other documents responsive to the broad categories I requested (names, case numbers, and keywords appearing in any written communications between December 1, 2024, and the date of fulfillment).

To evaluate compliance with Chapter 119 and Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution, I respectfully demand the following **no later than close of business on Monday, May 11, 2026**:

1. The full name, title, direct email address, and phone number of the individual currently designated as the custodian or designee responsible for this request (§119.07(1)(b), F.S.).
2. Written certification that a complete and diligent search has been performed, identifying the custodians and locations searched.
3. A privilege log (detailed written explanation) specifically identifying any responsive records that were withheld or redacted, including the specific statutory exemption claimed for each.
4. Immediate production of any additional responsive records on a rolling basis, together with a date-certain for final production of any remaining records.

I remind the University of its statutory obligation to respond promptly and in good faith (§119.07(1)(c), F.S.). Unreasonable delay or continued non-compliance may necessitate judicial enforcement under §119.11, F.S., including recovery of attorney’s fees and costs.

Pursuant to Section 119.12(1)(b), Florida Statutes, please consider this correspondence as formal, five (5) business days’ written notice of the University’s unlawful refusal to fully comply with my public records request.

If I do not receive reasonable answers and supporting materials by the deadline, I will consider your May 4, 2026 correspondence to be a constructive denial and an unlawful refusal to produce public records. I will then proceed with filing a Petition for Writ of Mandamus in the appropriate circuit court and will seek all applicable attorney’s fees and costs necessitated by your non-compliance pursuant to §119.11 and §119.12, F.S.

I am available to speak directly with the designated custodian at your earliest convenience and remain willing to resolve this matter cooperatively.

Thank you for your immediate attention.

Sincerely,

Christopher A. Hanson, Esq.

FL Bar #44094

727-409-5738

cahanson77@yahoo.com

On Monday, May 4, 2026 at 03:22:03 PM EDT, University of Florida Records Support Center
<universityofflorida@mycusthelp.net> wrote:

Attachments:

W018463-1.pdf

W018463-2.pdf

--- Please respond above this line ---



05/04/2026

RE: PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST of March 26, 2026, Reference # W018463-032626

Dear Chris Hanson,

The University of Florida received a public information request from you on March 26, 2026.
Your request mentioned:

"Dear Custodian of Public Records for the University of Florida:

Pursuant to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, and Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution, I

am requesting access to inspect and copy public records related to myself and ongoing litigation for which I sought help from the Brechner Institute.

This request is specifically directed at records maintained by, sent to, or received by employees within the UF College of Journalism and Communications, specifically including but not limited to the Brechner Freedom of Information Project, Dr. David Cuillier, and Professor Jane Bambauer.

I. TIME FRAME Please search for records created, sent, or received between December 1, 2024, and the date this request is fulfilled.

II. SCOPE OF RECORDS REQUESTED Please produce all written communications, including but not limited to emails, text messages, Signal/WhatsApp messages, internal memoranda, notes, and draft research or survey data, that contain any of the following names, email addresses, case numbers, or keywords:

- A. Names and Contact Information: "Christopher Hanson", "Chris Hanson", "cahanson77@yahoo.com", "Hanson"
- B. Specific Case Numbers: "25-CA-10255", "24-DR-001150", "2025-CA-002469", "2D2025-1936", "2D2025-0681", "2D2026-0279"
- C. Targeted Keywords, Individuals & Subjects: "13th Circuit", "Scott Davis", "Judge Kelly Ayers", "Judge Matthew Felix", "Gina Justice", "Vivian Hodz", "Judge Sherwood Coleman", "Grace Samarkos", "Florida Bar Mandamus", "surveillance video", "insider protectionism"

III. FORMAT AND PRESERVATION

- Catch-All & Personal Devices: This request explicitly covers records stored on personal devices (phones, tablets, computers) or private email accounts if they were used by UF employees to conduct official university business, research, or correspondence regarding the above topics.
- Format: Please produce all records electronically in their native format or as PDFs.
- Exemptions & Privilege Log: If you claim that any record (or portion thereof) is exempt from public disclosure under Chapter 119 or FERPA, you must state the basis for the exemption in writing, citing the specific statutory exemption. For any withheld record, please provide a log identifying the date, author, recipient, and general subject matter.

I request a rolling production of records as they become available. If you anticipate that this request will trigger a special service charge under §119.07(4)(d), F.S., please provide a written, itemized estimate prior to incurring any costs.

Please acknowledge receipt of this request and provide an estimated timeline for production."

The University has reviewed its files and has located responsive records to your request. These records have been included in this correspondence.

This completes the public records request.

Sincerely,
University of Florida Public Records Request Center

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the Public Records Center.

XHI IT

University of Florida Email of May 8, 2026 (“Hanson” records “relating to” others)

The full text of this email is reproduced within Exhibit G.

XHI IT G

Petitioner's Written Response of May 8, 2026

Re: Public Records Request :: W018463-032626

From: cahanson77@yahoo.com (cahanson77@yahoo.com)

To: universityofflorida@mycusthelp.net; cuillierd@ufl.edu; truthoverchaos@outlook.com

Date: Friday, May 8, 2026 at 02:05 PM EDT

To Whom It May Concern at the University of Florida Public Records Request Center:

As a preliminary matter, I previously asked that the University respond within this email chain rather than initiating separate, disconnected emails, so that the full record of correspondence would remain in one place and so that each side's communications would be clear. That request has been ignored. Your most recent email, like the one before it, was sent as a stand-alone message, unsigned, with no individual name, title, or direct contact information attached to it.

It's counter-productive to respond in that manner. Here is your email copied here:

"To: me · Fri, May 8 at 1:07 PM

Message Body

--- Please respond above this line ---

Dear Chris Hanson,

The university did locate public records that contain the search term "Hanson," which relate to individuals who are not you, but have the same last name. Do you seek those public records?

Sincerely,

University of Florida Public Records Request Center"

I raise this not as a stylistic complaint but because it is part of a larger and increasingly concerning pattern. The University has now responded to my correspondence three times without identifying any human being responsible for the positions it is taking. No custodian has been named. No designee has been named. No author of any of the University's responses has been named.

Even the simple courtesy of replying within an existing email thread — something that costs the University nothing — has been declined. It is fair to ask why, when the University is asserting legal positions of its own choosing under Chapter 119, it has been unwilling at any point to put a name to those positions. The Public Records Law is built on the premise that public business is the public's business, and that includes knowing which public employee is making the decisions about what will and will not be produced. The Brechner Center's Mission is precisely in line with that Citizen expectation. What is going on here??

Turning to the substance of your most recent email, you ask whether I want public records that contain the search term "Hanson" but "relate to individuals who are not [me]." The answer is no - I don't want all UF communications that contain "Hanson." My March 26, 2026 request was not a request for every record at the University of Florida containing the word "Hanson." It was a structured request defining three specific categories: (A) my own name and personal information; (B) enumerated case numbers tied to my pending litigation; and (C) a targeted list of keywords, individuals, and subjects connected to those cases. I am seeking records responsive to those categories. I am not seeking records about unrelated third parties who happen to share my surname.

Regardless, your latest correspondence further compounds the deficiencies I identified in my May 4, 2026 letter:

First, your email states that the University "did locate public records that contain the search term 'Hanson,' which relate to individuals who are not you." This is the first written confirmation from the University that records were located, reviewed, and then filtered out of the production based on the University's own subjective determination of whether those records "relate to" me.

There is no exemption under Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, or Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution that authorizes a public agency to withhold non-exempt records based on the agency's internal judgment of relevance nor "relation." If the University believes such authority exists, please identify the specific statutory basis in writing.

Otherwise, please confirm whether the University has any records responsive to my public records request even if the University finds that they "relate" to someone else. This must include Internal University communications, memoranda, notes, or drafts referencing the case numbers, individuals, or keywords I identified and produce a privilege log identifying each such record.

Second, as set forth above, the University has now responded to my correspondence three times without identifying, by name, the individual or legal designee processing this request. My request, my April 24 and April 30 follow-ups, and my May 4 deficiency letter have each specifically asked for the name, title, direct email, and phone number of the individual handling this matter. To date, no such information has been provided. Pointing me to a generic webpage is not a substitute for identifying the person responsible for the response. Please provide that information immediately.

Third, the remaining deficiencies identified in my May 4, 2026 letter remain unaddressed: (i) no written certification of a complete and diligent search, identifying the custodians and locations searched, including personal devices and private email accounts used for University business; (ii) no privilege log identifying records withheld or redacted and the specific statutory exemption claimed for each; and (iii) no production of internal University communications, memoranda, notes, or drafts responsive to the categories defined in the request.

Fourth, please be advised that none of the University's recent correspondence — including the May 4 production of my own emails back to me, the subsequent unsigned email asserting general compliance, or the most recent inquiry about unrelated third parties — operates to pause, reset, or satisfy my public records request and the five business days' written notice provided pursuant to Section 119.12(1)(b), Florida Statutes, in my May 4, 2026 letter. That notice period continues to run, and the deadline for full statutory compliance remains the close of business on Monday, May 11, 2026.

I continue to prefer an amicable resolution and remain willing to speak directly with the designated custodian once the University identifies that individual. Absent meaningful compliance by the deadline, I will treat the University's correspondence to date as a constructive denial and unlawful refusal to produce public records, and will proceed with

filing a Petition for Writ of Mandamus in the appropriate circuit court, seeking all applicable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Sections 119.11 and 119.12, Florida Statutes.

I'm not trying to unnecessarily embarrass anyone with the records. They are critical to some legal work I'm doing. If the University will simply cooperate, I'm willing to discuss terms that might allow any sensitive or embarrassing communications to avoid publicity. My goal remain a fair result in the underlying proceedings and I believe the materials that UF are critical to that.

Thank you for your prompt attention to these matters.

Sincerely,

Christopher A. Hanson, Esq.
FL Bar #44094
727-409-5738
cahanson77@yahoo.com

On Wednesday, May 6, 2026 at 04:31:29 PM EDT, cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com> wrote:

Good afternoon. I recently received yet another nameless, faceless email trying to avoid compliance with Chapter 119. I continue to have the questions that I outline in the chain below.

Here is the email cut and paste from the one I received yesterday:

"Dear Chris Hanson,

The university is in receipt of the questions regarding your public records request. Please note, the university complies fully with Florida's public records laws. Records custodian information is prominently identified on UF's Public Records Center website, linked here. The university conducted a good faith search for any public records responsive to your request, including reaching out to the employees you identified and to UF IT to conduct email searches. In accordance with the law and normal UF process, all located records incurred a legal review in order to determine responsiveness and the potential exempt nature of information in the records. No exempt information was withheld or redacted from the records responsive to your request, and consequently, no statutory exemptions were cited in UF's response.

We hope this explanation is helpful.

Sincerely,
University of Florida Public Records Request Center"

Your email on its face fails to comply with Chapter 119. It will make it easier moving forward if you simply respond to this email chain so it's clear what each of us is communicating. You have not complied with the Chapter 119 requirements that I describe below. Please respond with appropriate information including the individual(s) responsible for any certifications.

One concern not addressed is that you all removed non-exempt materials from the response without justification. There are certainly other shortcomings to statutory compliance that require further exploration. Accordingly, I will allow the good faith attempts at resolution as long as I'm able to make any progress.

I have never looked to file any lawsuits despite the current volume of relevant litigation. I hope that you will comply with the statutory requirements immediately. If you all comply voluntarily, I am not looking to cause any undue embarrassment. However, these issues cannot go unaddressed.

I hope that we will be able to resolve this amicably. Otherwise, as soon as it becomes feasible after expiration of the statutory notice under 119.12(1)(b) below, I will proceed with filing a petition for writ of certiorari in the Circuit Court. Please let me know your thoughts on additional efforts at compliance at your earliest convenience.

Chris Hanson
FL Bar #44094

On Monday, May 4, 2026 at 05:03:45 PM EDT, cahanson77@yahoo.com <cahanson77@yahoo.com> wrote:

Good afternoon.

I write regarding Public Records Request W018463-032626, submitted March 26, 2026.

Earlier today, on May 4, 2026, your office produced two PDF attachments (W018463-1.pdf and W018463-2.pdf) consisting solely of email communications that I myself initiated and sent to UF employees. Your cover email stated that "This completes the public records request." I must make it clear that I strongly disagree that closure of this request is appropriate.

The materials sought are critical to numerous other pending cases. The course of events and relevant information I already have make the nature of UF's conduct thus far very concerning. What was the delay in producing communications already within my possession? What type of legal review was necessary on producing what's self-evidently already in my possession?

While I appreciate receipt of those records, this production does **not** constitute a complete or compliant response under Chapter 119, Florida Statutes. There are numerous failures in the response, not the least of which being there is nothing stating whether any documents were withheld. Before this public records request is resolved, I need you all to address these clear deficiencies at a minimum:

1. **No Identification of Custodian/Designee.** My request (and subsequent follow-ups on April 24 and April 30, 2026) expressly demanded the name and direct contact information of the specific individual or legal designee processing the request, as required by §119.07(1)(b), Florida Statutes. No such identification has ever been provided.
2. **No Certification of Complete Search.** There has been no written confirmation that a thorough, good-faith search was conducted of all responsive records maintained by, sent to, or received by the named custodians (Brehner Freedom of Information Project staff, Dr. David Cuillier, Professor Jane Bambauer, and others in the UF College of Journalism and Communications), including records on personal devices or private email accounts used for university business.

3. **Unexplained “Legal Review” and Lack of Privilege Log.** For weeks your office responded only that the request was “undergoing the legal review,” without identifying any specific statutory exemption under Chapter 119 or the Florida Constitution, without providing a privilege log for any withheld records, and without producing non-exempt records on a rolling basis as I repeatedly requested.
4. **Scope of Production.** The records produced contain no internal UF communications, memoranda, notes, drafts, or other documents responsive to the broad categories I requested (names, case numbers, and keywords appearing in any written communications between December 1, 2024, and the date of fulfillment).

To evaluate compliance with Chapter 119 and Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution, I respectfully demand the following **no later than close of business on Monday, May 11, 2026**:

1. The full name, title, direct email address, and phone number of the individual currently designated as the custodian or designee responsible for this request (§119.07(1)(b), F.S.).
2. Written certification that a complete and diligent search has been performed, identifying the custodians and locations searched.
3. A privilege log (detailed written explanation) specifically identifying any responsive records that were withheld or redacted, including the specific statutory exemption claimed for each.
4. Immediate production of any additional responsive records on a rolling basis, together with a date-certain for final production of any remaining records.

I remind the University of its statutory obligation to respond promptly and in good faith (§119.07(1)(c), F.S.). Unreasonable delay or continued non-compliance may necessitate judicial enforcement under §119.11, F.S., including recovery of attorney's fees and costs.

Pursuant to Section 119.12(1)(b), Florida Statutes, please consider this correspondence as formal, five (5) business days' written notice of the University's unlawful refusal to fully comply with my public records request.

If I do not receive reasonable answers and supporting materials by the deadline, I will consider your May 4, 2026 correspondence to be a constructive denial and an unlawful refusal to produce public records. I will then proceed with filing a Petition for Writ of Mandamus in the appropriate circuit court and will seek all applicable attorney's fees and costs necessitated by your non-compliance pursuant to §119.11 and §119.12, F.S.

I am available to speak directly with the designated custodian at your earliest convenience and remain willing to resolve this matter cooperatively.

Thank you for your immediate attention.

Sincerely,

Christopher A. Hanson, Esq.
FL Bar #44094
727-409-5738
cahanson77@yahoo.com

On Monday, May 4, 2026 at 03:22:03 PM EDT, University of Florida Records Support Center <universityofflorida@mycusthelp.net> wrote:

Attachments:

W018463-1.pdf

W018463-2.pdf

--- Please respond above this line ---



05/04/2026

RE: PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST of March 26, 2026, Reference # W018463-032626

Dear Chris Hanson,

The University of Florida received a public information request from you on March 26, 2026. Your request mentioned:

"Dear Custodian of Public Records for the University of Florida:

Pursuant to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, and Article I, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution, I am requesting access to inspect and copy public records related to myself and ongoing litigation for which I sought help from the Brechner Institute.

This request is specifically directed at records maintained by, sent to, or received by employees within the UF College of Journalism and Communications, specifically including but not limited to the Brechner Freedom of Information Project, Dr. David Cuillier, and Professor Jane Bambauer.

I. TIME FRAME Please search for records created, sent, or received between December 1, 2024, and the date this request is fulfilled.

II. SCOPE OF RECORDS REQUESTED Please produce all written communications, including but not limited to emails, text messages, Signal/WhatsApp messages, internal memoranda, notes, and draft research or survey data, that contain any of the following names, email addresses, case numbers, or keywords:

- A. Names and Contact Information: "Christopher Hanson", "Chris Hanson", "cahanson77@yahoo.com", "Hanson"
- B. Specific Case Numbers: "25-CA-10255", "24-DR-001150", "2025-CA-002469", "2D2025-1936", "2D2025-0681", "2D2026-0279"
- C. Targeted Keywords, Individuals & Subjects: "13th Circuit", "Scott Davis", "Judge Kelly Ayers", "Judge Matthew Felix", "Gina Justice", "Vivian Hodz", "Judge Sherwood Coleman", "Grace Samarkos", "Florida Bar Mandamus",

"surveillance video", "insider protectionism"

III. FORMAT AND PRESERVATION

- **Catch-All & Personal Devices:** This request explicitly covers records stored on personal devices (phones, tablets, computers) or private email accounts if they were used by UF employees to conduct official university business, research, or correspondence regarding the above topics.
- **Format:** Please produce all records electronically in their native format or as PDFs.
- **Exemptions & Privilege Log:** If you claim that any record (or portion thereof) is exempt from public disclosure under Chapter 119 or FERPA, you must state the basis for the exemption in writing, citing the specific statutory exemption. For any withheld record, please provide a log identifying the date, author, recipient, and general subject matter.

I request a rolling production of records as they become available. If you anticipate that this request will trigger a special service charge under §119.07(4)(d), F.S., please provide a written, itemized estimate prior to incurring any costs.

Please acknowledge receipt of this request and provide an estimated timeline for production."

The University has reviewed its files and has located responsive records to your request. These records have been included in this correspondence.

This completes the public records request.

Sincerely,
University of Florida Public Records Request Center

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the Public Records Center.